

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,661	02/26/2002	Kelan C. Silvester	42390P13004	8664	
8791	7590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			TRAN, PHUC H		
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LES, CA 90025-1030		2616		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
	<b></b>	10/085,	661	SILVESTER, KELAN C.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		PHUC H	I. TRAN	2616				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N insions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are placed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become	VICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final					
3)	,—							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•	·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 37-40</u> is/are pend	ding in the applicatio	n.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□								
6)⊠	· /							
7)🖂								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		o) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje			<del>-</del>				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	ired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. N	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docun	nents have bee	n received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Ri	ule 17.2(a)).					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cer	tified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<sup>2</sup> 1O-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/085,661

Art Unit: 2616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- Claims 1-3,5,7,8,11-13,15, 17,18,21-24,26-31,and 33-35 are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6697638 B1).
- With respect to claims 1, 11,21,26,31, and 34-35 Larsson teaches a method comprising: detecting at least two audio sources within communication range of a wireless device (col. 3, lines 26-31 and col. 4, lines 6-14);

establishing an audio link with each audio source devices of the at least two detected audio sources (col. 3, lines 36-40 and col. 4 line 8-11); and

providing, via at least two device audio channels, audio streams to a user of the wireless device, wherein the audio streams are from one or more of the predetermined number of audio

Art Unit: 2616

source devices with an established audio link to the wireless device (e.g. the car kit and handheld phone communicate to each other as Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 11-14).

Page 3

- With respect to claims 2, 12, 22, and 27, Larsson also teaches wherein detecting the audio sources further comprises:

polling a surrounding area of the wireless device for audio sources within a predetermined distance of the wireless device (col. 3, lines 60-67);

when an audio source is detected, initiating an authentication handshake with an audio source device of the detected audio source (col. 3, lines 33-35);

once the detected audio source device is authenticated, initiating creation of an audio link with the authenticated audio source device (col. 3, lines 36-40); and

repeating the polling, initiating and initiating until an audio link is established with the pre-determined number of the detected audio source devices (col. 4, lines 1-5).

- With respect to claims 3, 13, 23, and 28, Larsson further teaches wherein initiating the authentication handshake further comprises:

determining a device ID of the detected audio source device (col. 4, lines 15-20);

determining, according to the device m, whether the detected audio source device is a trusted device (col. 4, lines 28-30);

when the audio source device is a trusted device, authenticating the device to enable creation of an audio link between the detected audio source device and the wireless device (col. 4, lines 51-55); and

otherwise, disregarding the detected audio source device (col. 4, lines 30-31).

- With respect to claims 5, and 15, Larsson teaches wherein establishing an audio link further comprises: selecting an authenticated audio source device (col. 4, lines 8-14);

generating a communication connection with the authenticated audio source device to form an audio link between the headset device and the selected audio source device (col. 4, lines 15-20); and

repeating the selecting and generating until the pre-determined number of audio links are established (col. 4, lines 1-5).

- With respect to claims 7-8,17-18,24, and 29-30 Larsson also teaches wherein providing the audio sources to the user further comprises:

generating a device audio channel for each established audio link with a detected audio source device (e.g. Fig. 2 shows links between the master and slave);

receiving, from the user, a selection for one or more of the generated device audio channels; and

providing, via the one or more selected device audio channels, selected audio streams to the user via the wireless device.

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4, 6, 9-10,14,16,19-20,25, 32, and 37-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,5,7,8,11-13,15,17,18,21-24,26-31, and 33-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuc Tran

Assistant Examiner

Art Unit 2616

P.t

11/27/06